# Semi-natural grassland in Denmark, Political instruments aiming for nature

<sup>1</sup>Nielsen, A.L., <sup>1</sup>Hald, A.B. & <sup>2</sup>Buttenschøn, R.

1. Natlan ApS, natlan@agropark.dk 2. Forest and Landscape, University Copenhagen, rmb@life.ku.dk

#### **Problems**

In Denmark 343,000 ha grassland > 2500 m<sup>2</sup> is protected physically by law (§ 3 area). But more than 50 % has an

insufficient management practise

Danish farmers lack incentives for management of good quality natural grassland.

## Needs for good management of grassland

- Payment for nature quality equal to or greater than aids per ha
- Focus on nature goals instead of common dates and rules of appearance
- Specific advise about management to farmer combined with control defined by nature
- Less complicated administration

Reference: Nielsen, A.L., Hald, A.B. & Buttenschøn, R. Accepted. Political instruments affecting management of semi-natural grassland in Denmark and possible improvements. NJF seminar 436, Norway, May 2011.

### **Management decisions**

Only 110.000 ha protected § 3 grassland in Natura2000 and 40,000 ha § 3 areas outside Natura2000 can apply for stewardship management support. Only 40-45.000 ha are supported today. Management decision of protected grassland is up to farmers.

#### Stewardship management

1400 DKR ha<sup>-1</sup> (5 years contract period)

# Plus aid per ha: 2200 DKR ha<sup>-1</sup>



§ 3 – low nature quality

Expected to be: 50 % good forage species Less than 50 trees/bushes per ha No wet areas

Passed by control

Homogenous grassland Relatively dry areas Trees are cut Sward is trimmed



§ 3 – high nature quality

Low production Low forage quality Control measures: Low and dense sward by 31 August

Disqualified by control
Too much nature
Cross compliance problems

#### **Abandonment**



§ 3 – biodiversity loss

Abandonment risk after 5 years stewardship management is high:

Too bad economy
Too many restrictions

Abandonment risk is high